August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 1 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: DALLAS CAN ACADEMY CHARTER

Campus Name: TEXANS CAN ACADEMY AT PAUL QUINN Grade Span: 09 - 12

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

SDAA II not evaluated due to grade span, small numbers, or no data.

	District	All	African			Econ
	At-Risk	Students	American	Hispanic	White	Disad
exas Assessment of Knowledge and	Skills (TA	KS) Progre	ss (Grades :	3-12)		
Analysis Groups Evaluated						
		x	x	x		X
2006-07 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	2,080	617	566	42	*	445
# Tests	3,333	890	823	58	*	660
% Met Standard	62%	69%	69%	72%	*	67%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	92%	7%	*	74%
2005-06 Progress Measure						
# Tests Met Standard	1,493	512	471	33	*	289
# Tests	2,493	748	677	57	*	442
% Met Standard	60%	68%	70%	58%	*	65%
Required Improvement						
Met Minimum Size Requirements	?					
Actual Change	2	1	-1	14	*	2
Improvement Required	_	_	_		_	_
Met Required Improvement?						
tate-Developed Alternative Assess Analysis Groups Evaluated	ment II (S	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
-	ment II (S	DAA II)(Gr	ades 3-10)			
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results	nent II (S n/a	DAA II)(Gr:	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated				n/a n/a		
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a	*	n/a		n/a n/a n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a	*	n/a n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results	n/a n/a n/a	* * 94%	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a	n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	* * 94%	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests	n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 94% 4 14	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a	* * 94%	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 94% 4 14	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 94% 4 14	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a
Analysis Groups Evaluated 2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* * 94% 4 14	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a
2006-07 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations 2005-06 SDAA II Results # Tests Met ARD Expectations # Tests % Met ARD Expectations Required Improvement Met Minimum Size Requirements	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	* 94% 4 14 29%	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a	n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.

August 2007 TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY PAGE 2 of 2

2007 Campus Accountability Data Table Alternative Education Accountability (AEA) Procedures

District Name: DALLAS CAN ACADEMY CHARTER

TEXANS CAN ACADEMY AT PAUL QUINN Grade Span: 09 - 12 Campus Name:

Campus Number: 057804004 % At-Risk: 100

Campus Type: AEC OF CHOICE

Rating: AEA: Academically Acceptable

School Leaver Provision used for Annual Dropout Rate.

District at-risk Completion Rate II used.

At-Risk	Students				
	DOGGOTIOD A	American	Hispan	<u>ic White</u>	Disady
x					
502	-	-	_	-	-
133	-	-	_	-	-
635	-	-	-	-	-
79.1%	-	-	-	-	-
n/a	-	-	-	-	-
481	-	-	_	_	
521	-	-	_	_	-
92.3%	-	-	-	-	-
?					
-13.2	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-
y be due t l year.	o significan	changes	in the	dropout def	inition
	502 133 635 79.1% n/a 481 521 92.3%	502 - 133 - 635 - 79.1% - n/a - 481 - 521 - 92.3% -	502	502	502 133 635

Analysis Groups Evaluated		x	x	x		x
2005-06						
# Dropouts	n/a	90	82	8	0	33
# Students in Grades 7-12	n/a	792	734	52	6	369
Dropout Rate	n/a	11.4%	11.2%	15.4%	0.0%	8.9%
Student Group %	n/a	100%	93%	7%	1%	47%

Due to definitional changes, Annual Dropout Rate Required Improvement is not calculated in 2007.

^{&#}x27;n/a' indicates that the data are not applicable.

^{&#}x27; - ' indicates that no data are available.

Special formats ('*', >99%, <1%) are used to protect student confidentiality.